G. R. S. 581

Previous references.

1901
~~191~~ .
(G. F.)

File No. 157

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

214(i)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for June 1902

SUBJECT.

Entertainment of Ramakrishna Iyengar, ex Sirsi in the Sirsi District Treasury.

(Indexed) MHH, C

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12.

Mirza Nazeer Hussain

Mysore Dewan's office

ROUTINE NOTES. ..... Department

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.]

Mysore Dy Comm^r's letter No 660 dated 17th February 1902 submitting a statement shewing persons newly employed in his Dist^t—

"Entertainment of Ramakrishnaiyar Srivishnava Brahmin aged 30 years as Amildar Dist^t Drg on Rs 12/-"

Secretary's Query-

"Does not the appointment of person of 30 years require Govt- sanction ?"

Hug. H^d Sheristedar's note-

"H. to^s the Art. does it apply to menials"

Sub^d.

Art 43 ~~xxxx~~ ~~xxxx~~ of Mysore Service Regulation runs thus -

"A person whose age exceeds twenty-five years, may not ordinarily be admitted into the service of the State. The ordinary limit is extended to thirty years in the case of a person appointed to a judicial Office and to 28 yrs in the case of Asst^s Surgeons who had passed the first in Arts Exam^n before entering

N.B.—Should notes, etc., be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or the slips passed on this form.

"on the Course for the Degree of
"Licentiate in Medicine &
"Surgery and those who have
"gone through the Course for the
"Degree of Bachelors of Medicine
before entering the Service".

The first portion of the above
article, it is submitted, applies
to menials also.

Asked Mr Foley

H.M.
5/3/02 The rule is
not strictly enforced
in the case of
Inferior servants -
the defect, if any,
may be cured
by the approval
of Govt as in
the case of higher
officials for whom
the rule is meant

H.M.
11/3/02

Let me see
whether a
Punkah-man is
a menial servant.
H.M.
11/3

(Previous Govt Sanction)
was not obtained?

H.B.

I think it best to have a
definite understanding on

N. B.—Should notes, etc., be written on small slips the notes must be copied or the slips pasted on this form.

the matter. As a matter of practice, what the Asst Secy states is correct, I think.

May explanation be called for for permission not being obtained or is it better not to notice the matter on the score of its being unimportant?

Submitted to the Solr

Councillor — [signature]

09/3 Vr 09/3/02

KO 20/3/02 1892

I think explanation may be called for

Issue [signature] 25/3/1902

[signature] 26/3 Mamaia

Vr. 26/3/02

to Pl.

28/3

Recd HSJ 29/3/02

memo continued.

P J.O.

Memo Continued

4

recordingly in this office no: 14648 2.4.02.

Dy: Conr: was asked to explain why the sanction of Govt: was not obtained when a person entertained as munshi was 30 years of age.

In reply the Dy: Conr: submits (in his no: 863 d/17.4.02) that Ramakrishnaiah is the son of the late Narasimhachar, Dy: Munshee, Chamarajanagar Sub-division; that the latter, who was drawing Rs 35 per mensem suddenly died of cholera after a Service of 5 years leaving his family unprovided for; Ramakrishnaiah was a Copyist for some years in the same office & on the strong recommendation of the Chl: Sub-Divn: Officer, he was appointed Munshi of the Dy: Office in August 1901 subject to confirmation after Six months approved Service; that the sanction of Govt: was intended to be applied for at the end of that period and now begs that that sanction may be kindly accorded.

Submitted.
K.S. 1.5.02.

Submitted to the Secretary with reference to the 1st Councillor's Order dt 25-3-1902
dt 6/5/02

To the 1st Councillor

6/5

Approved
dt 6/5/1902

8/5/02

1.5.02

5 R 3254

1902

FROM
H. Ramanujaiengar Esquire
offg: Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore

Dated } 17th February 1902
Despatched }
Received

General No. 660
No.

Enclosure No. 7

Has the honor to submit the accounts noted in the margin.

4 Statements showing the average prices of different grains for the months of June to September 1901 - Nil

1 Statement showing promotions given to officials for the quarter ending 30th September 1901

1 Statement showing the details of persons newly appointed during do — do —

1 do — do —

1 do — do —

Does not the age of person of 30 years require Govt Sanction?

25/2

Submitted

5/3/02

Offg Deputy Commr
Mysore District

19.2.02

Submitted

22/2/02

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

Statement shewing the details of persons newly appointed in the Mysore District for the quarter ending the 30th September 1901

Number	Name of person	Caste	Age	Qualification	Relation between this official and those in the District or Taluk office.	Designation	Pay	Remarks.
1.	Ramakrishnaiengar	Srivishnava Brahman	30.			Amildar District Treasury	12-0-0	

Extra Asst

Deputy Commissioners office
Mysore Dated 14th February 1902

Superintendent
for offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Register of the names of Officials of and above the rank of Shekdars in the Mysore District, who have been dismissed from the Mysore Government employ by reason of misconduct, for the ~~month of~~ quarter ~~or half-year~~ ending 30th September 1901.

Rev. Gen. 16.]

Department	Person	Rank	Pay	Date of dismissal	Grounds of dismissal	Date of appointment in the office from which dismissed	Whole period of employment in Public Service			Remarks
							Years.	M.	D.	
	Vide G.O.			Nil						

Dy. Comr's. Office of Mysore Dist.
The 14th February ~~189~~ 1902

Deputy Commissioner.

Statement shewing promotions given to officials in the 30th September

Number	Name of official	Designation	Present pay	Total length of service in years.	Date of promotion to present pay.
				District office	
1.	B. Narasinha Rao	2nd clerk L.F. Branch	*30.1.35	22	1st August 1901.
2.	M. Srinivasa Iyengar	Police Munshi	30	9	
3.	B. Ramanujaiengar	Anglo Vernacular clerk	25	31	
4.	V.K. Srinivasa Rao	do do Special Sub-Division office	25	2	
5.	M. Ramachendra Rao	4th clerk L.F. Branch	20.1.25	4	
6.	B. Anantanarayana Rao	Revenue accountant	20	4	
7.	K.G. Ramachendra Rao	5th clerk L.F. Branch	15.1.20	14	
8.	M. Bhima Rao	Stamp Gumastha District Treasury	15.	4	
9.	P. Ramaswamiah	Mutchi District Treasury	12	3 months	
			Mysore	Taluk.	
1	Subba Rao	Mohatarfa Mutsaddi of Seringapatam.	10	-	31.8.01.
			Yedatore	Taluk	
1.	Venkatramiah	Deputy Mirmushi	13	9	
2.	Venkoba Rao	Mutchi District office	10	8	
3.	Venkat-Subbiah	Duffedar	8	1	
4	Narasimhiah	Gumastan	7	7	

Deputy Commissioners office
Mysore dated 14th February 1902

he Mysore District for the quarter ending 8
1901.

Encl to Sl 1

Qualification	Post to which promoted	Pay	Remarks.
Mysore.			
Has passed Matriculation Revenue and Registration Examinations.	Deputy Munshi Chamarajnagar Special Sub-Division office.	35-0-0	* Consequent on the death of B. Narasimha Char Deputy Munshi, Chamarajnagar Special Sub-Division office.
	2nd clerk L.7. Branch	30.1.35	
	Revenue Deputy Munshi	30-0-0	
Has passed B.A. Revenue & Criminal Examinations	Anglo Vernacular clerk Special Sub-Division office	25-0-0	Confirmed.
	Anglo Vernacular clerk.	25-0-0	
Has passed the Kannarese Branch of the B.A. Examination & the Revenue examination.	4th clerk L.7. Branch	20.1.25	
	Revenue accountant	20-0-0	
	5th clerk L.7. Branch	15.1.20.	
	Stamp Gumastha	15-0-0	
	Deputy Munshi	12*-0-0	* rising to 15 Rs/-
	Revenue Gumastha	15-0-0	Consequent upon the death of permanent Gumas
	Deputy Munshi	12-0-0	
	Shroff	15-0-0	Consequent upon the transfer on promotion of the permanent shroff to [illegible] as Revenue Gumastha which became vacant by the death of the permanent incumbent.
	Duffertund	8-0-0	

Head Accountant
For Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

4 Draft for approval

To.

The D.I. Coorg, Mysore Dist.

No. 7L 1464 dy 2/4/02

2

G.7.
15-7-01

2 His docket No. 660 17th Feby 1902 Submitting ~~herein~~ their accounts a Statement shewing details ~~of persons~~ newly appointed Muchi, District Treasury during the Quarter ending 30th Sept 1901. which ~~contains the following:~~

Ugardis Ramakrishnayya

~~Ramakrishnayya - Srivishnava Brahmin Age 30 yrs, Muchi Dist~~ ~~pay Rs 12/-~~

With reference to the above has the honor to enquire why the sanction of Govt was not obtained when the person ~~before entertaining~~ the appointed was 30 years ~~above mentioned person.~~ of age.

~~before sanctioning the appointment as~~

By Order

31/3/02
for Secretary.

31/3/02

10 1901-902. P4

From A Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To A.V. Narasimhayya Esq m.A. BL
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 17th April 1902
Despatched
Received

4.7
157-01 Enclosures No.
3

General No. 863

Requests sanction to the appointment of Ramakrishnaiengar, Amildar, District Treasury who is 30 years of age.

R
18/4

Ref
Mr. 18/4

Refers further
to 23/4/02
24.

H.S.
24/4 Note continued
Sd/- D.T. Lees
1/5 6/5/02

R 23/4/02.

71

No. 863

Enclosures No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated 17th April 1902.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no: H. 1464 dated 2nd April 1902, enquiring why the sanction of Government was not obtained for the appointment of Ramakrishniengar who is 30 years of age, I have the honor to state that Ramakrishniengar is the son of the deceased Narasimhachar Deputy Munshi in the Special Sub Division office of Chamarajanagar The latter was drawing

drawing Rs 35- per ~~mensem~~ he
died suddenly of cholera after a
service of 35 years leaving his
family unprovided for. Rama —
Krishniengar was a copyist for
some years in the special Sub —
Division office, and on the strong
recommendation of the special Sub
Division officer he was appoin-
ted in August last as munshi
of the District Treasury office
subject to confirmation after
six months approved service.
It was intended to apply for
Government sanction to the —
appointment at the end of
that period and I beg —

that | Sanction may be kindly

accorded.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obed[t] servant

S. Padmanabhaiyah

For Offg Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

14 Draft as per orders

Read: —

Correspondence

ending with letter no: 8639

17.4.02, from the Dy. Conr,

Mysore, requesting sanction to the appointment

of Ramakrishnaiah, who is 30

4.7.—

157-01 years of age as much in the

4——1 ,

Mysore District Treasury.

No. FL 1879-80 32/6/02 2 order thereon: —

Sanctioned.

29/5/02

for Secretary.

K.S

27.5.02.

27/5

To

The D.C. & Comm. Mysore

The Comptroller in Mysore